

NEC ELECTRONICS INC.

MAY 1999
TRQ-99-05-323

QUARTERLY MICROPROCESSOR/MICROCOMPUTER RELIABILITY REPORT

This report contains reliability data on microprocessor and microcomputer devices fabricated at NEC Roseville and assembled at NEC Roseville or NEC Singapore.

(Signatures on file)

Prepared by

D. Yee

RQC Dept.

Roseville Mfg.

Approved by

M. Mahal

RQC Dept.

Roseville Mfg.

Please refer all inquiries to:

NEC Electronics Inc.

Attn: Reliability and Quality

Assurance Department

7501 Foothills Boulevard

Roseville, CA 95747

Tel. (916) 786-3900

The information in this document is subject to change without notice. No part of this document May be copied or reproduced in any form or by any means without the prior written consent of NEC Corporation. NEC Corporation assumes no responsibility for any errors which May appear in this document. NEC Corporation does not assume any liability for infringement of patents, copyrights, or other intellectual property rights of third parties by or arising from use of a device described herein or any other liability arising from use of such device. No license, either express, implied, or otherwise, is granted under any patents, copyrights, or other intellectual property rights of NEC Corporation or others. While NEC Corporation has been making a continuous effort to enhance the reliability, of its semiconductor devices, the possibility of defects cannot be eliminated entirely. To minimize risks of damage or injury to persons or property arising from a defect in an NEC semiconductor device, customers must incorporate sufficient safety measures in its design, such as redundancy, fire-containment, and anti-failure features. NEC devices are classified into the following three quality grades: "Standard," "Special," and "Specific." The Specific quality grade applies only to devices developed based on a customer-designated "quality assurance program" for a specific application. The recommended applications of a device depend on its quality grade, as indicated below. Customers must check the quality grade of each device before using it in a particular application. **Standard:** Computers, office equipment, communications equipment, test and measurement equipment, audio and visual equipment, home electronic appliances, machine tools, personal electronic equipment, and industrial robots. **Special:** Transportation equipment (automobiles, trains, ships, etc.), traffic control systems, anti-disaster systems, anti-crime systems, safety equipment, and medical equipment (not specifically designed for life support). **Specific:** Aircraft, aerospace equipment, submersible repeaters, nuclear reactor control systems, and life-support systems or medical equipment for life support, etc. The quality grade of NEC devices is Standard unless otherwise specified in NEC's data sheets or data books. If customers intend to use NEC devices for applications other than those specified for Standard quality grade, they should contact an NEC sales representative in advance. Anti-radioactive design is not implemented in this product.

*NEC Electronics Inc. is dedicated to the **QCD** principle of providing the highest **Quality** product at the lowest possible **Cost** with on-time **Delivery** to our customers.*

Table of Contents

	Page
Failure Rate Prediction.....	3
Table 1. Reliability Tests	4
Table 2. Reliability Test Results	5
Table 3. HTB Life Test Summary and Failure Rate Predictions	6
Table 4. Other Life Test Summaries (HTSL, HHSL, T/H)	8
Table 5. Environmental and Mechanical Test Summaries (TS, T/C, PCT)	9
Table 6. Failure Summaries	10
Table 7. CMOS-4 Process Family, Quarterly Reliability Data (Jan-Mar 99)	11
Table 8. CMOS-5 Process Family, Quarterly Reliability Data (Jan-Mar 99)	11

Failure Rate Prediction

This report contains reliability test results of all microprocessor devices assembled in NEC Roseville that were subjected to routine Monitor Reliability Testing (MRT). It also contains failure rate predictions for these devices, calculated using the Arrhenius method shown below.

This report will be updated in September 1998.

When predicting the failure rate at a certain temperature from accelerated life test data, various values of activation energy, corresponding to failure mechanisms, should be considered. This procedure is done whenever exact causes of failures are known by performing failure analysis. In some cases, however, an average activation energy is assumed in order to accomplish a quick first-order approximation. NEC assumes an average activation energy of 0.7 eV for CMOS-4 and lesser technologies and 0.45 eV for CMOS-5 and greater technologies for such approximations. These average values have been assessed from extensive reliability test results and yield a conservative failure rate.

Since life testing at NEC is performed under high-temperature ambient conditions, the Arrhenius relationship is used to normalize failure rate predictions at a system operation temperature of 55°C. The Arrhenius model includes the effects of temperature and activation energy of the failure mechanisms. This model assumes that the degradation of a performance parameter is linear with time. The temperature dependence is taken to be an exponential function that defines the probability of occurrence.

The Arrhenius equation is:

$$A = \exp \frac{-E_A (T_{J1} - T_{J2})}{k(T_{J1})(T_{J2})} \tag{1}$$

Where:

- A ≡ Acceleration factor
- E_A ≡ Activation energy
- T_{J1} ≡ Junction temperature (in K) at T_{A1} = 55°C
- T_{J2} ≡ Junction temperature (in K) at T_{A2} = 125°C
- k ≡ Boltzmann's constant = 8.62 x 10⁻⁵ eV/K

Because temperature dependence on power dissipation of a particular device type cannot be ignored, junction temperatures (T_{J1} and T_{J2}) are used instead of ambient temperatures (T_{A1} and T_{A2}). Also, thermal resistance of a particular device cannot be ignored. These two factors cannot be accounted for unless junction temperatures are used. We calculate junction temperatures using the following formula:

$$T_J = T_A + (\text{Thermal Resistance}) \times (\text{Power Dissipation at } T_A)$$

From the high-temperature operating life test results, the failure rates can be predicted at a 60% confidence level using the following equation:

$$L = \frac{X^2 10^5}{2T} \tag{2}$$

Where:

- L ≡ Failure rate in %/1000 hours
- X² ≡ The tabular value of chi-squared distribution at a given confidence level and calculated degrees of freedom (2f + 2, where f = number of failures)
- T ≡ T Number of equivalent device hours
= (Number of devices) x (number of test hours) x (acceleration factor)

Another method of expressing failures is as FIT (failures in time). One FIT is equal to one failure in 10⁹ hours. Since L is already expressed as %/1000 hours (10⁻⁵ failure/hr), an easy conversion from %/1000 hours to FIT would be to multiply the value of L by 10⁴.

Example

A sample of 960 pieces was subjected to 1000 hours at 125°C burn-in. One reject was observed. Given that the acceleration factor was calculated to be 34.6 using equation (1), what is the failure rate, normalized to 55°C, using a confidence level of 60%? Express the failure rate in FIT.

Solution

For n = 2f + 2 = 2(1) + 2 = 4,

X² = 4.046.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } L &= \frac{X^2 10^5}{2T} \quad (\%/1000H) \\ &= \frac{X^2 10^5}{2 \text{ (s.s.)}(\text{test hrs})(\text{acc. factor})} \\ &= \frac{(4.046) 10^5}{2(960)(1000)(34.6)} \\ &= 0.0061 \%/1000H \\ \text{Therefore, FIT} &= (0.0061)(10^4) = 61 \end{aligned}$$

Table 1. Reliability Tests

The major reliability tests performed by NEC consist of high-temperature bias (HTB), 85°C/85% relative humidity (T/H), high-temperature storage life (HTSL), and high-humidity storage life (HHSL) tests. Additionally, various environmental and mechanical tests are performed. This table shows the conditions of the various life tests, environmental tests, and mechanical tests.

Test Item	Symbol	MIL-STD 883C Method	Condition	Remarks
High-temperature bias life	HTB	1005	T _A = 125°C, V _{CC} = 5.5 V.	Note 1
High-temperature storage life	HTSL	1008	T _A = 150°C.	Note 1
Temperature and humidity life	T/H		T _A = 85°C, RH = 85%. V _{DD} = 5.5 V, alternate pin bias.	Notes 1, 2
High-humidity storage life	HHSL		T _A = 85°C, RH = 85%.	Notes 1, 2
Pressure cooker	PCT		T _A = 125°C, RH = 100% P = 2.3 atm.	Notes 1, 2
Temperature cycle	T/C	1010	-65° to 150°C, 1 hour/ cycle.	Note 1
Lead fatigue	LI	2004	125g (DIP) 250g (QFP), three bends, 90°, without breaking.	Note 4
Solderability	SD	2003	T _A = 230°C, 5 sec, rosin- based flux.	Note 5
Soldering heat	TS	Note 3	260°C, 10 sec, rosin based flux. 215°C VPS 235°C, IR reflow	DIP PLCC QFP
Temperature cycle		1010	10 cycles, -65° to 150°C.	
Thermal shock		1011	15 cycles, 0° to 100°C.	Note 1

- Notes:**
1. Electrical test per data sheet is performed. Devices that exceed the data sheet limits are considered rejects.
 2. Pretreatment as specified.
 3. MIL-STD 750A, method 2031.
 4. Broken lead is considered a reject.
 5. Less than 95% coverage is considered a reject.

Table 2. Reliability Test Results

The reliability test results given in this report are representative of the following products fabricated in Roseville and assembled in Roseville or Singapore.

Fabricated in Roseville		Assembled in Roseville	
NMOS-4	D7720A	28-pin DIP	D7720AC
	D78H11		D77C20AC
			D77C25C
CMOS-4 CX2	D17003AH	40-pin DIP	D70108C
	D6701		D70116C
	D70108		
	D77C20A	68-pin PLCC	D70208L
	D77C25		D70216L
	D7502A		
	D7503A	84-pin PLC	D70320L
	D75004		D70325L
	D75108A		D70335L
	D75304	64-pin QFP	
	D75306		D7502AGF
	D75308		D7503AGF
	D75312		D75304GF
	D75316		
	D75328		
CMOS-5 CX3:	D17010	80-pin QFP	D75306GF
			D75308GF
			D75312GF
	D70208	Assembled in Singapore	D75316GF
	D70216		
	D70322		
D70325			
D70335			
D78C10	80-pin QFP		75216AGF
D78C11A			75308GF
D78C14			78C10AGF
D78213			
D78234	64-pin LQFP	78352BG	
D78238			
D78322			
D937LH			

Table 3. HTB Life Test Summary and Failure Rate Predictions

This table summarizes the reliability test results of processes extensively used by most NEC microprocessor products. The failure rate predictions are based on both 125°C and 150°C high-temperature bias life test results. Failure rate predictions are shown for the current period of available data and for past periods of cumulative data.

μPD7720AC (28-pin DIP)	Jan 88–Dec 98 (cumulative)	(125°C)	448	520,000	0	17.9	9.3 x 10 ⁶	
Process Type	Process Period	Ambient Temp.	Number of Devices	Accum., Device Hours	No. of Failures	Accel. Factor (Note 1)	Equiv. Device Hours	Failure Rate, 55°C and 60% Confidence Level (Note 2)
NMOS-4 Total	Jan 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	448	520,000	0	17.9	9.3 x 10 ⁶	0.0098 %/1000 = 98.0 FIT
μPD77C20AC (28-pin DIP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	432	432,000	0	32.6	1.41 x 10 ⁷	
μPD77C25C (28-pin DIP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	96	96,000	0	32.6	3.13 x 10 ⁶	
μPD70108C (40-pin DIP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	24	24,000	0	32.6	7.82 x 10 ⁵	
μPD7503A (64-pin QFP)	Jan 97– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	168	168,000	0	32.6	5.48 x 10 ⁶	
μPD75304 (80-pin QFP)	Apr 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	384	384,000	0	32.6	1.25 x 10 ⁷	
μPD75306 (80-pin QFP)	Apr 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	120	120,000	0	32.6	2.35 x 10 ⁶	
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	360	360,000	0	32.6	8.61 x 10 ⁶	
μPD75312 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 94– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	312	311,168	1	32.6	9.39 x 10 ⁶	
μPD75316 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 94– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	552	552,000	0	32.6	1.80 x 10 ⁷	
μPD17010 (80-pin QFP)	Oct 94– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	72	72,000	0	32.6	2.35 x 10 ⁶	
μPD78C10 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	24	24,000	0	32.6	7.82 x 10 ⁵	
Singapore Assembly								
μPD75216 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	144	144,000	0	32.6	4.69 x 10 ⁶	
Singapore Assembly								
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	120	120,000	0	32.6	3.91 x 10 ⁶	
Singapore Assembly								
CMOS-4 Total	Jan 89– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	2808	2,812,168	1	32.6	9.17 x 10 ⁷	0.0022%/1000 = 22FIT
μPD70208 (68-pin PLCC)	Jan 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	748	736,000	0	11.3	805. x 10 ⁶	
∞PD70216 (68-pin PLCC)	Apr 93– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	936	936,000	0	11.3	1.06 x 10 ⁷	
μPD70320 (84-pin PLCC)	Jul 91– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	508	508,000	0	11.3	3.30 x 10 ⁶	
μPD70325 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan 92– Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	644	644,000	1	11.3	5.65 x 10 ⁶	

Table 3. HTB Life Test Summary and Failure Rate Predictions (continued)

Process Type	Process Period	Ambient Temp.	Number of Devices	Accum., Device Hours	No. of Failures	Accel. Factor (Note 1)	Equiv. Device Hours	Failure Rate, 55°C and 60% Confidence Level (Note 2)
μPD70335 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan 94- Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	532	532,000	0	11.3	6.01 x 10 ⁶	
CMOS-5 Total	Apr 88- Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	3368	3,356,000	1	11.3	3.79 x 10 ⁷	0.0053%/1000H = 53FIT
μPD78352 (64-pin LQFP)	Oct 94- Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	192	192,000	0	-	-	
CMOS-8 Total	Oct 94- Mar 99 (cumulative)	(125°C)	192	192,000	0	-	-	Note 3

- Notes:**
1. The acceleration factor was calculated using the Arrhenius mathematical model.
 2. FIT was derived from HTB data for all available time periods.
 3. Some of the above FIT rates were not calculated. Due to small sample sizes in these cases, the FIT rates would not be meaningful. NEC expects a FIT rate of less than 100 for micro device types (target not to exceed 150).

Table 4. Other Life Test Summaries (HTSL, HHSL, T/H)

This table summarizes the reliability test results of the different process types during 150°C/175°C/200°C storage and 85°C/85% RH storage and bias tests. The data is summarized for the current period of available data and for past periods of cumulative data.

Process Type	Process Period	HTSL Failures				HHSL Failures				T/H Failures			
		Qty	Hours			Qty	Hours			Qty	Hours		
			168	500	1000		168	500	1000		168	500	1000
μPD7720AC (28-pin DIP)	Jan 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	380	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	448	0	0	0
NMOS-4 Total	Jan 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	380	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	448	0	0	0
μPD77C20AC (28-pin DIP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	360	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	432	0	0	0
μPD77C25C (28-pin DIP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	80	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	96	0	0	0
μPD70108C (40-pin DIP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	20	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	24	0	0	0
μPD70116C (40-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	100	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	120	0	0	0
μPD7503A (64-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	180	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	216	0	0	0
μPD75304 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	324	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	380	0	0	2
μPD75306 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	80	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	96	0	0	0
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	300	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	384	0	0	0
μPD75312 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	260	0	0	0	1	-	-	-	312	0	0	1
μPD75316 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	500	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	520	0	1	0
μPD17010 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	40	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	72	0	0	0
μPD78C10 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	204	0	0	0
μPD75216 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	260	0	0	0
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	220	0	0	0
CMOS-4 Total	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	2244	0	0	1	0	-	-	-	3336	0	1	4
μPD70208 (68-pin PLCC)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	604	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	620	0	0	0
μPD70216 (68-pin PLCC)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	804	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	912	0	0	0
μPD70320 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	476	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	528	0	0	0
μPD70325 (84-pin PLCC)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	584	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	692	0	0	0
μPD70335 (84-pin PLCC)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	516	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	528	0	0	0
CMOS-5 Total	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	2984	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	3280	0	0	0
μPD78352 (64-pin LQFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	192	0	0	0

NEC Electronics Inc.

Roseville Manufacturing

CMOS-8 Total	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	192	0	0	0
---------------------	--------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---

Table 5. Environmental and Mechanical Test Summaries (TS, T/C, PCT)

Process Type	Process Period	Qty	TS Failures	T/C Failures			PCT Failures		
				Qty	100 Cycles	300 Cycles	Qty	96 Hours	102 Hours
μPD7720AC (28-pin DIP)	Jan 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	261	0	380	0	0	380	0	0
NMOS-4 Total	Jan 88- Mar 99 (cumulative)	261	0	460	0	0	380	0	0
μPD77C20AC (28-pin DIP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	342	0	450	0	0	360	0	0
μPD77C25C (28-pin DIP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	72	0	100	0	0	100	0	1
μPD70108C (40-pin DIP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	18	0	24	0	0	20	0	0
μPD70116C (40-pin DIP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	54	0	125	0	0	100	0	0
μPD7503A (64-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	90	0	225	0	0	180	0	0
μPD75304 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	234	0	400	0	0	320	0	0
μPD75306 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	54	2	125	0	0	100	0	0
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	224	0	350	0	0	300	0	0
μPD75312 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	162	0	300	0	1	240	0	0
μPD75316 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	332	0	500	0	0	400	0	0
μPD17010 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	54	0	75	0	1	60	0	0
μPD78C10 (80-pin QFP)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	0	-	-	180	0	0
μPD75216 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	0	-	-	120	0	0
μPD75308 (80-pin QFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	-	0	-	-	100	0	0
CMOS-4 Total	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	1636	2	2674	0	2	2580	0	1
μPD70208 (68-pin PLCC)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	324	1	800	0	1	600	0	0
μPD70216 (68-pin PLCC)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	468	0	975	0	0	820	0	0
μPD70320 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	180	1	525	0	3	419	0	0
μPD70325 (84-pin PLCC)	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	144	0	675	0	1	580	0	0
μPD70335 (84-pin PLCC)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	306	0	600	0	1	460	0	0
CMOS-5 Total	Jul 88– Mar 99 (cumulative)	1422	2	3575	0	6	2879	0	0
μPD78352 (64-pin LQFP)	Jul 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	0	60	0	0	180	0	0
CMOS-8 Total	Jan 90– Mar 99 (cumulative)	0	0	60	0	0	180	0	0

Table 6. Failure Summaries

CMOS-4

Test Item	Duration	Period	Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Jul 94–Oct 94	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Jan 95–Mar 95	1 PC DC Failure
PCT	192 hrs.	Jan 92–Apr 92	1 PC DC Failure
HTB	168 hrs.	Oct 95–Dec 95	1 PC FUN Failure
T/H	1000 hrs.	Jan 96–Mar 96	1 PC DC Failure
T/H	1000 hrs.	Oct 95–Dec 95	1 PC FUN Failure
T/H	1000 hrs.	Jan 92–Apr 92	1 PC FUN Failure
HTSL	1000 hrs.	Apr 96–Jun 96	1 PC DC Failure
HHBT	1000 hrs.	Oct-Dec 96	1 PC FUN Failure
TS	-	Jul-Sep 97	2PC DC Failure
T/H	168 hrs.	Apr-Jun 98	1 PC DC Failure
HTSL	1000 hrs	Sep-Dec 98	1 PC DC Failure

CMOS-5

Test Item	Duration	Period	Failure
HTB	1000 hrs.	Jul 92–Sep 92	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Apr 92–Jun 92	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Jul 95–Sep 95	1 PC FUN Failure
T/S	15 cyc.	Apr 96–Jun 96	1 PC FUN Failure
HTB	168 hrs.	Jan 97–Jun 97	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Apr 97–Jun 97	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Apr 97–Jun 97	1 PC DC Failure
T/C	300 cyc.	Jul–Sep 97	1PC DC Failure

Table 7. CMOS-4 Process Family, Quarterly Reliability Data (Jan-Mar 99)

Life Tests

Device Type	Assembly Month	HTB Failures				Qty	T/H Failures			Qty	HTSL Failures/		
		Qty	Hours				168	Hours			168	Hours	
			168	500	1000			500	1000			500	1000
μPD7503A (80-pin QFP)	Jan- Mar 99	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	20	0	0	1

Environmental Tests

Device Type	Assembly Month	T/C Failures			Qty	PCT Failures			Qty	TS Failures	
		Qty	Cycles			96	Hours				288
			100	300			192	288			
μPD75312 (80-pin QFP)	Jan-Mar 99	25	0	0	20	0	0	0	18	-	

Table 8. CMOS-5 Process Family, Quarterly Reliability Data (Jan-Mar 99)

Life Tests

Device Type	Assembly Month	HTB Failures				Qty	T/H Failures			Qty	HTSL Failures		
		Qty	Hours				168	Hours			168	Hours	
			168	500	1000			500	1000			500	1000
μPD70216 (68-pin PLCC)	Jan- Mar 99	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	20	0	0	0
μPD70335 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan- Mar 99	24	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	20	0	0	0

Environmental Tests

Device Type	Assembly Month	T/C Failures			Qty	PCT Failures			Qty	TS Failures
		Qty	Cycles			96	Hours			
			100	300			192	288		
μPD70216 (68-pin PLCC)	Jan- Mar 99	25	0	0	20	0	0	0	18	0
μPD70335 (84-pin PLCC)	Jan- Mar 99	25	0	0	20	0	0	0	18	0